

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,202	10/20/2003	Kohji Murayama	JP920010391US1	4460
32074 7590 10/22/2007 INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300-482 2070 ROUTE 52			EXAMINER	
			SANTIAGO, MARICELI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533		2879		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/689,202	MURAYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mariceli Santiago	2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any ( earn) Status 1) \( \bigsize \)	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Juli	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE and attended the communication, even if timely filed along the communication and the communication are timely filed action is non-final.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠ 11)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 35 U.S.C. § 119	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on July 27, 2007, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Cancellation of claims 6-14 has been entered.

Claims 1-5 are pending in the instant application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tera et al. (US 2001/0031379).

Regarding claim 1, Tera discloses an organic electroluminescent device (Fig. 5), comprising a substrate (1), electrodes including a first electrode (2) formed on the substrate, and a second electrode (5) disposed to be spaced from the first electrode, a function layer (3, 4) formed between the electrodes, the function layer comprising a carrier injection layer, a carrier transport layer and a luminous layer (¶[0142]), a buffer layer (6) disposed on a first side of the second electrode (5), and a protective film layer (7) disposed on the first side of the second electrode (5), wherein the buffer layer is in contact with the first side of the second electrode and is disposed between the second electrode (5) and the protective film layer (6).

Application/Control Number: 10/689,202

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 2, Tera discloses a device wherein the buffer layer is formed in a distance of 20 nm or less from an upper end surface of the function layer (i.e., buffer layer 6 is formed over functional layer 3, 4, see Fig. 5).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Tera discloses a device wherein the buffer layer contains aluminum oxide (¶[0033]).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tera et al. (US 2001/0031379) in view of Wakimoto (US 5,739,635).

Regarding claim 5, Tera fails to disclose the limitation of a thin layer contiguous with the function layer and containing any of an alkaline metal element and an alkaline earth metal element, the thin layer having a thickness of approximately 0.5 nm. In the same field of endeavor, Wakimoto discloses an organic electroluminescent device provided with a thin layer (6b) having electron injecting capabilities contiguous with the function layer and containing any of an alkaline metal element and an alkaline earth metal element in order to increase the emission efficiency and obtain high luminance in the device, the thin layer having a thickness of approximately 1Å (Column 3, lines 8-9) to prevent obstructing electric current in the device. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art to incorporate the thin film electron injecting layer contiguous with the

function layer disclosed by Wakimoto in the device of Tera in order to increase the emission efficiency and obtain high luminance in the device.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariceli Santiago whose telephone number is (571) 272-2464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,202

Art Unit: 2879

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mariceli Santiago Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879